

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,503	02/14/2005		Patrick T. Moseley	ATMOSPHERIC	6188	
49801	7590	04/26/2006		EXAMINER		
JAMES C.			CYGAN, MICHAEL T			
1493 CHAIN SUITE 300	BRIDGE	EROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN, VA 22101				2855		
				DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N/						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action O	10/524,503	MOSELEY, PATRICK T.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_					
	Michael Cygan	2855						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.						
Status	•							
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Mar</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro							
Disposition of Claims		0 0.0. 210.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/2/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/524,503

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clifford (US4542640) in view of Petit (US5447705). Clifford teaches a gas sensor for monitoring and controlling combustion processes comprising a sensor material of a perovskite structure oxide which is screen printed on a substrate from metal oxides to form a sensor microstructure, where the sensor is contacted with the atmosphere and the resistance of the sensor is plotted to monitor and control combustion processes in response to the received signal. See columns 1-12. Clifford does not teach the claimed perovskite structure. Petit teaches a perovskite catalyst having the claimed structure. including the claimed range of composition, see columns 1-3. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the compositions taught by Petit in the invention taught by Clifford to act as the sensing catalyst, since Petit teaches these catalysts for use in hydrocarbon catalysis, which is the mechanism by which the invention of Clifford performs sensing. Any particular composition which is within the range boundaries taught by Petit would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, since determining the optimum value of a

Application/Control Number: 10/524,503

Art Unit: 2855

Page 3

result-effective variable is within the level of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272 (CCPA 1960).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2175. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Moral